

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9                   AT SEATTLE

10                 TERRY EZELL,

11                 Plaintiff,

Case No. C23-729RSM

12                  v.

MINUTE ORDER

13                 SHAWNA MCCANN,

14                 Defendant.

15  
16                 The following MINUTE ORDER is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable  
17 Ricardo S. Martinez, United States District Judge: This case is closed. It was closed for failure  
18 to timely serve Defendant, for failure to respond to the Court's Order to Show Cause, and for  
19 numerous other procedural issues.

20  
21                 On November 21, 2023, the Court received a manilla envelope ostensibly from Plaintiff  
22 Ezell with a new Complaint and a Praeclipe to issue summons. Dkt. #28. The Court interpreted  
23 it as a proposed Amended Complaint and filed it in this case, in part because it was filed without  
24 a cover sheet or filing fee, and in part because it appeared identical to the Complaint already filed  
25 in this case. The Court has now reviewed it further and determined that it is not merely identical  
26 but is in fact a modified photocopy of the Complaint at Dkt. #1. The Court does not know who  
27  
28

1 mailed this document, but the signature of Mr. Ezell at the end of the Complaint is a photocopy  
2 of the signature in the original Complaint, and the new Complaint is undated. It appears someone  
3 covered up the date line when the photocopy was made. This new Complaint is undated. Several  
4 other pages are identical photocopies of the original Complaint.

5 The address of Mr. Ezell has been an issue in this case. Mr. Ezell is an inmate at FCI  
6 Sheridan. *See* Dkt. #26. He has requested that the Court communicate with him at a different  
7 address, in Renton. *Id.* The new Complaint was sent from that address. The Court concludes  
8 that it was mailed by a friend or family member without Mr. Ezell's review and signature.

9  
10 The Court will not accept filings submitted by a non-attorney without the Plaintiff's  
11 review and signature. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). The Court will not open a new case with a  
12 photocopy of the original Complaint, modified to deceive the Court. Given all of the above, the  
13 Court STRIKES the latest filing from Plaintiff, Dkt. #28. The Court advises Mr. Ezell to review  
14 the procedures for pro se parties to open a lawsuit available on the Court's website,  
15 https://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/representing-yourself-pro-se.  
16  
17

18  
19 DATED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2023.

20 RAVI SUBRAMANIAN, Clerk  
21  
22 By: /s/ Serge Bodnarchuk  
23 Deputy Clerk  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28